#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

#### PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

May 25, 2010 - 9:20 a.m. DAY 2
Concord, New Hampshire A.M. SESSION ONLY
PUBLIC

RE: DT 10-025 FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS, INC. REORGANIZATION

PRESENT: Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Clifton C. Below Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius Jody Carmody, Clerk

#### APPEARANCES:

Reptg. FairPoint Communications, Inc.:
Patrick C. McHugh, Esq. (Devine...)
Frederick J. Coolbroth, Esq. (Devine...)
Harry Malone, Esq. (Devine...)
Shirley Linn, Esq., General Counsel

Reptg. BayRing Communications:
Alan M. Shoer, Esq. (Adler, Pollack...)

Reptg. Otel Telekom:
Gregory M. Kennan, Esq. (Fagenbaum...)

Reptg. One Communications: Paula Foley, Esq.

Reptg. CRC Communications of Maine: Trina Bragdon, Esq.

Reptg. segTEL, Inc.: Carolyn Cole, Esq. Kath Mullholand

Reptg. Comcast Phone of N.H., Inc.: Susan S. Geiger, Esq. (Orr & Reno) Stacey L. Parker, Esq.

COURT REPORTER: Susan J. Robidas, LCR No. 44

## APPEARANCES: (C o n t i n u e d)

## Reptg. Irene Schmitt:

Alan Linder, Esq. (NHLA)

# Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:

Meredith Hatfield, Esq. (OCA)

# Representing PUC Staff Advocates:

Peter C. L. Roth, Sr. Asst. Atty. Gen.
 (N.H. Dept. of Justice - Office of
 the Attorney General)
F. Anne Ross, General Counsel(N.H.PUC)

## Reptg. PUC Non-Advocate Staff:

Harold T. Judd, Esq. (Accion Group) Edward N. Damon, Esq.

1	INDEX
2	WITNESS PANEL:
3	VICKY WEATHERWAX AND KATHLEEN MCLEAN
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION PAGE
5	By Mr. McHugh 6
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION PAGE
7	By Ms. Hatfield 10
8	By Mr. Kennan 13
9	By Mr. Shoer 19
10	By Ms. Foley 25
11	By Ms. Bragdon 33
12	By Ms. Geiger 49
13	By Mr. Judd 53
14	By Cmsr. Ignatius 57
15	By Mr. Shoer 69
16	
17	WITNESS PANEL:
18	PETER NIXON AND MICHAEL SCRIVAN
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION PAGE
20	By Mr. McHugh 81
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION PAGE
22	By Mr. Roth 85
23	Ms. Hatfield 89
24	By Mr. Linder 94

{DT 10-025}[DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public]{5-25-10}

1	I N D E X - (Continued)
2	
3	
4	FAIRPOINT EXHIBITS PAGE
5	FP 26 Record request by Ms. Foley 36
6	re: CDIP project updates
7	FP 27 Record request by Ms. Geiger . 51
8	re: metrics
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

{DT 10-025}[DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public]{5-25-10}

5

# 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good 3 morning, everyone. We'll open the hearing in Docket DT 10-025. And the first order of 4 5 business today is the panel of Ms. Weatherwax and Ms. McLean. 6 7 Is there anything we need to 8 address before hearing from the panel? 9 MR. McHUGH: No, Mr. Chairman. 10 It's not for the specific panel, but my 11 understanding is very late last night a pleading 12 got filed with the bankruptcy court. We're 13 trying to get a PDF of that filing this morning. 14 And as soon as I have it, we'll have people have 15 copies made. I really don't know what it is. My 16 understanding is it was a very short --17 CHAIRMAN GETZ: By somebody. Other than FairPoint? 18 19 MR. McHUGH: No, it was a Paul 20 Hastings FairPoint filing. I just don't know what got filed last night. A couple parties had 21 22 asked me this morning. It was filed, you know, 23 close to midnight. So, as soon as we have it 24 we'll provide copies. I think under the

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

```
1
     regulatory settlement we've agreed to make these
     filings with the Commission within one or two
 2
     business days. But in any event, I'll produce it
 3
 4
     this morning as soon as we have it.
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank
 5
 6
     you.
 7
                         MR. McHUGH: You're welcome.
 8
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Please
 9
     proceed.
10
                         MR. McHUGH: FairPoint calls
11
     Ms. Weatherwax and Ms. McLean. Thank you.
12
                (WHEREUPON, the witnesses were duly
13
               sworn and cautioned by the Court
14
               Reporter.)
15
                  VICKY WEATHERWAX, SWORN
16
                  KATHLEEN McLEAN, SWORN
17
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. McHUGH:
18
19
          Good morning, Ladies. Ms. Weatherwax, let
20
          me start with a few questions for you. Can
21
          you state for the record your full name and
22
          your title with FairPoint Communications.
23
          (Ms. Weatherwax) Vicky Weatherwax,
24
          Vice-President of Internal Business
```

- 1 Solutions.
- Q. And Ms. Weatherwax, how long have you been with FairPoint?
- 4 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Since April of 2007.
- Q. And are you the same Ms. Weatherwax who prefiled testimony in this docket, dated
  February 24, 2010, which we have premarked as FairPoint Exhibit 12P for public, and C for confidential?
- 10 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 11 Q. Has that testimony -- I'm sorry. Is that

  12 testimony true and accurate in all material

  13 respects?
- 14 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you adopt that testimony under oath here today in these proceedings?
- 17 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- Q. Ms. McLean, could you state for the record your full name and your title with FairPoint, please.
- 21 A. (Ms. McLean) My name is Kathleen McLean.
- 22 M-C-L-E-A-N. I am an Executive
- Vice-President and Chief Information Officer
- 24 at FairPoint.

8

- Q. And how long have you been the Executive Vice-President and CIO for FairPoint?
- A. (Ms. McLean) I joined FairPoint on

  March 15th of this year.
- Q. And are you co-sponsoring Ms. Weatherwax's prefiled testimony that I've just identified for the record?
- 8 A. (Ms. McLean) I am.

9

- Q. And have you previously reviewed the testimony of Ms. Weatherwax?
- 11 A. (Ms. McLean) Yes, I have.
- 12 Q. And is it true and correct to the best of your knowledge in all material respects?
- 14 A. (Ms. McLean) Yes, it is.
- Q. And you adopt that testimony as your sworn testimony in these proceedings today?
- 17 A. (Ms. McLean) I do.
- Q. And as you're new to the company, could you just explain to the Commission, Ms. McLean, very briefly your background and history.
- A. (Ms. McLean) Sure. Before joining FairPoint

  I was a senior vice-president at Verizon in

  their Partners Solutions Organization, where

  I had responsibility for 9,000 global

```
1
         employees, responsible for enterprise and
         wholesale ordering, provisioning and
2
3
         maintenance. I joined Bell Atlantic in 1998
         into their IT organization, where I had
4
5
         responsibility for advanced technology and
         the construction of the wholesale systems.
6
7
         I was a witness here in New Hampshire and in
8
         14 other jurisdictions in Verizon's 271
9
         proceedings on matters related to OSS.
```

- Q. And Ms. McLean, could you just state briefly for the Commission the purpose of your and Ms. Weatherwax's testimony here today in these proceedings.
- 14 A. (Ms. McLean) I'm sorry?

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Could you -- I'm sorry. Microphone's not on. Oh, they are.

Could you just please state for the Commission very briefly the purpose of your testimony here today and Ms. Weatherwax's testimony today.

A. (Ms. McLean) We're here to discuss matters related to the customer delivery improvement program and other general IT matters.

MR. McHUGH: Thank you, Mr.

{DT 10-025}[DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public]{5-25-10}

- 1 Chairman. The witnesses are available for
- 2 cross-examination.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank
- 4 you. Mr. Roth?
- 5 MR. ROTH: I have no
- 6 questions.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Hatfield?
- MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr.
- 9 Chairman.

# 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 11 BY MS. HATFIELD:
- 12 Q. Good morning.
- 13 A. (Witness Panel) Good morning.
- 14 Q. Ms. Weatherwax, I believe in your testimony
- 15 you discussed a number of IT staff that
- 16 FairPoint has or is planning to have. Do
- 17 you recall that?
- 18 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I do.
- 19 Q. And I thought I heard Mr. Newitt testify
- yesterday that the plan was to have 100 IT
- 21 staff. Did I hear him correctly?
- 22 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) You did.
- 23 Q. So does FairPoint have 100 now, or is that a
- 24 plan that you're working towards?

{DT 10-025} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] {5-25-10}

1 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I'm going to refer that to Kathleen. It's her staff.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. (By Ms. McLean) Mr. Newitt referred to the in-house IT budget. So there are approximately 100 -- actually, it's 123

  FairPoint IT employees. And there are approximately 200 Capgemini consultants who are part of the IT team. So Mr. Newitt was referring to the employees, not to the total force.
- 11 Q. And I think he also testified to the fact
  12 that over time the expectation is the number
  13 of Capgemini people working for FairPoint
  14 will decline. Is that correct?
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) That's correct.
- Q. And as that happens, will the number of FairPoint IT staff increase?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) That's not the plan. So we are still -- we had a surge, obviously, in the number of IT people as we did systems conversions. And as we reduce the amount of change we're making to the systems, we'll reduce the force in the consulting staff.

  But the expectation is not that that would

```
1
          be substituted with employees.
          Thank you. Ms. Weatherwax, also in your
 2
     Q.
 3
          testimony, including on Page 12, you
          discussed the CDIP program road map. Do you
 4
 5
          recall that?
          (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes, I'm there.
 6
     Α.
 7
     Q..
          And I'm wondering if you have any updates or
 8
          if there are any changes to the progress in
 9
          that area since you filed your testimony.
10
     Α.
          (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes. We're now at
11
          78-percent complete. We've completed 6 of
12
          the sub-- of the 15 projects, and we're
13
          still tracking for final completion in
14
          September for all 15.
15
                         MS. HATFIELD: Thank you very
16
     much. Nothing further.
17
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Linder?
18
                        MR. LINDER: I have no
19
     questions. Thank you.
20
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: And Mr.
21
     Kennan?
22
                        MR. KENNAN: Thank you, Mr.
23
     Chairman.
24
```

13

[WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. KENNAN:

1

9

- 3 Q. Good morning, Ms. Weatherwax and Ms. McLean.
- 4 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Good morning.
- Q. Page 4 of your testimony, please? On
  Line 9, you referred to the fact that during
  the last six months of 2009, FairPoint made
  3,101 distinct deployment changes, fixes and

enhancements to FairPoint systems?

- 10 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 11 Q. So that's an average of something over 500 per month?
- 13 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- Q. And when we were in Vermont last week, or two weeks ago, I asked you, and you responded, as I recall, that in March the equivalent number was 405 deployments.
- 18 March of 2010?
- 19 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- 20 Q. And 350 in April?
- 21 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- 22 Q. Plus 25 to 30 every Monday?
- 23 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes, for the variance.
- MR. KENNAN: Sorry. I should

- 1 use the microphone. I apologize.
- 2 BY MR. KENNAN:
- Q. And that number would be approximately equal in January and February.
- 5 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 6 Q. In each of January and February.
- 7 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 8 Q. And Ms. -- so we're averaging about 500
  9 deployments a month between July of 2009
  10 through April of 2010.
- 11 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.

17

18

19

20

- Q. And Ms. McLean, in Vermont, you tried to
  draw a contrast between FairPoint and
  Verizon, that in your last month of Verizon
  you made 358 deployments in the CAB system.
  Do you recall that?
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes. I was trying to draw a comparison of just the amount of change in information technology systems for companies like FairPoint and Verizon.
- Q. Well, FairPoint has what? About 2 million access lines?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) Approximately, to the bet of my knowledge, yes.

{DT 10-025} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] {5-25-10}

1

2

15

22

[WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX | McLEAN]

- Q. And Verizon has 2 million access lines in Massachusetts alone maybe?
- 3 I don't know by state. But access lines is Α. 4 not the only driver of change to OSS. OSS support the business practices of the 5 organization, as well as the operation of 6 7 the organization. And as I pointed out, 8 simply counting the number of things that 9 change doesn't tell you anything. You have 10 to understand what functionality is being 11 implemented and what the purpose of that 12 functionality is. So, simply a number of 13 changes is neither a good thing nor a bad 14 thing.
  - Q. But the numbers are what they are.
- 16 A. (By Ms. McLean) The numbers are what they
  17 are.
- Q. And as you were just stating in response to questions by Ms. Hatfield, the IT group, or the IT department, has approximately 300 people working with it now?
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) Correct.
- Q. And 100 are FairPoint employees and 200 are Capgemini contractors?

A. (By Ms. McLean) Correct.

- Q. I'd like to ask about the CDIP program, if I
- may. I gather that this grew out of a
- 4 report that the consultants from Accenture
- 5 provided to FairPoint; is that correct?
- 6 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- 7 Q. And the purpose of the CDIP initiatives are
- 8 to improve the systems, so as to enhance the
- 9 delivery of customer service?
- 10 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Not just to improve the
- 11 systems, but to improve the business. We've
- identified areas where we put people in
- place, processes in place, and, yes, some
- 14 system improvements.
- 15 Q. And the CDIP programs have approximately 15
- 16 initiatives?
- 17 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- 18  $\mid$  Q. And the 300 people in the IT department are
- working on the CDIP initiatives?
- 20 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Not exclusively. CDIP is
- one of many initiatives that we're doing to
- improve our customer and business.
- 23 Q. The CDIP programs are not done yet?
- 24 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) They are not completed.

- Q. On Page 31 of your testimony you list projects on the IT road map for 2010?
- 3 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- Q. And these, what you've listed in your testimony, are five or six projects that are over and above the CDIP initiatives?
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.

- Q. And that's -- I thought I just understood you to say the same IT department that is working on the CDIP initiatives are also working on these additional projects under the IT road map?
- 13 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- Q. And as you've noted on Page 33, one of these projects is to customize the Siebel system for the sales team, the enterprise services group and the government and educational group?
- 19 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- 20 Q. And on Page 33, Line 7, you refer to these as "enhancements"?
- 22 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- Q. Also on Page 33, the second bullet point, IT is planning improved business intelligence

18

[WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX | McLEAN]

1 reporting?

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- Q. And although the IT department can do this today, it's a manual process?
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) No. We're going to enhance the business intelligence reporting. We are doing much of our reporting automatedly.
    - Q. On Line 12 and 13 of your prefiled testimony, you say, "Today IT is able to generate many of these reports, but this manual process is time-intensive." Are you saying that's not correct?
    - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Some of the reports that
      we're creating are manually processed. Some
      of the reports that we're creating are not.
  - Q. And those that are manual --
- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Additionally, these are
  enhancements, and they're under development
  right now. They are not being deployed at
  this point. On Page 31, it talks about
  these are on the road map. They're being
  planned. They're not all of them being
  implemented at this point.
  - Q. With respect to the business intelligence

```
reporting, I gather that you're trying to
automate it to save time?
```

- 3 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 4 Q. Now, this situation that you cite, that

5 manual reporting is time-intensive -- or

6 excuse me -- manual processes are

7 time-intensive, that condition is not unique

to FairPoint, is it?

- 9 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Not in my experience, no.
- 10 | O. It's true of the CLECs as well as FairPoint.
- 11 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I can't answer that

12 question.

8

- 13 | MR. KENNAN: That's all I
- 14 have. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
- 16 Mr. Shoer.
- MR. SHOER: Thank you, Mr.
- 18 Chairman.

#### 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 20 BY MR. SHOER:
- 21 Q. Good morning.
- 22 A. (Witness Panel) Good morning.
- 23 Q. My name is Alan Shoer. I represent BayRing
- Communications in this proceeding. I think

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

I do recognize Ms. McLean from some of those 271 proceedings. I don't remember which state, though.

On Page 15 of the -- of

Ms. Weatherwax's testimony there's a Line 4

that says that the IBS group is developing

metrics to measure the results of the CDIP

program projects. Am I correct that that -
that those metrics are separate and distinct

from the metrics that are used for the

reporting of service-quality metrics in the

C2C and PAP reports?

A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.

- Q. And could you explain a little bit about, well, what those metrics or how those metrics are being prepared?
- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I think I misspoke when I said metrics. What we were putting in place and what we have put in place is a way to evaluate as the projects get completed, the percentage of projects completed, and going back and evaluating if in fact what we were implementing did give us the improvement that we were looking for, if it did enhance

```
the business. So we do have reports and methods that we're using inside the CDIP program to ensure that we are improving customer delivery and the business.
```

- Q. So are you looking to test if the result of the CDIP project leads to -- when you say improvements in the business, are you talking about improvements in the delivery of services to wholesale customers?
- 10 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) All customers, sir.
- 11 Q. All customers?

- 12 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. So that if customers are -- if wholesale customers, for example, are getting their orders processed in a timely manner, that would be an indicator that -- is that the type of metrics you're talking about for measuring the success of your program?
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) If that was the goal of the project or the subproject that we were implementing.
- 23 A. (By Ms. McLean) So, actually, joining
  24 FairPoint between Ms. Weatherwax filing this

1.5

in reviewing her testimony that I discussed with Ms. Weatherwax. And what we're trying to do here is for individual initiatives, such as an initiative that is specifically designed to improve call-handling time, to be able to measure call-handling time before the initiative goes in, implement the initiative, let it soak for a period of time, and come back and see if we have improvement in that internal submeasure of a process.

Additionally, we've just begun, starting about last week, to be able to associate a combination of multiple initiatives within a release to improvements in filed metrics that we already have, such as the SQI, the C2C and the PAP measures, as well as the bi-weekly reports that we're temporarily providing. So we want to be able to see it on both levels. We want to see it atomically as it relates to very specific initiatives, but also in aggregate, to ensure it's pulling through to the

measures that reflect the aggregate
performance we provide to you.

- Q. All right. Now, on Line 8 of that Page 15, it says your expectations will be that improvements measured from the CDIP program will result in service-quality index improvements as well. How is that supposed to work? How will that work?
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) We'll be monitoring the reports as they come out and ensuring that there is, in fact, improvement. As you can see from the results for the last few months, there has been improvement.
  - Q. Okay. And now in the CDIP project, there's a list of the 15 projects that you described briefly. And I understand from each of those projects there was sort of a description of what the nature of the problem was. Is that accurate?
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 21 Q. And who was involved in the decisions, in 22 terms of what the nature of the problem --
- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) We evaluated the -- when we did the evaluation, Accenture went out, and

2 4

#### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX | McLEAN]

1 we spoke to all of the business units. so the problems were identified while 2 3 working with each business unit. We went out and rode along with techs, we sat with 4 5 techs in the centers. We met many, many, many times with multiple business leads to 6 7 identify the problems, and then we 8 aggregated them together into the 9 subprojects. We had over 200 10 recommendations. We broke them down to 150, 11 and ended up with 15 subprojects for 12 Priority A.

- 13 Q. Fifteen subprojects?
- 14 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I'm sorry. Fifteen major 15 projects, with 123 in Priority A.
- 16 Q. And again, the nature of the problem that
  17 needed to be corrected was established by
  18 who?
- 19 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) It was established with the business and the Accenture team and my team.
- 21 Q. When you say with the business --
- 22 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) With the business units.
- The teams doing the work.
- Q. Okay. And what business units are you

1 talking about? (Ms. Weatherwax) The call centers, the NOC, 2 Α. 3 the service techs, engineers, provisioners. MR. SHOER: So, I have some 4 5 questions in regards to some of the descriptions, some of the descriptions of the projects and the 6 7 service goals and such that are in a document that's been marked "Confidential." It's the 8 9 Exhibit 3. Will there be an opportunity to 10 direct those questions to the panel? 11 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Yes. Let's go 12 through all of the non-confidential information. 13 And like we did yesterday, we'll go through 14 redirect, and then we'll have a separate section 15 for any confidential questions. 16 MR. SHOER: Well, that's all I 17 have at this point. 18 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. 19 Ms. Foley? 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. FOLEY: 21 22 Good morning, Ms. McLean and Ms. Weatherwax. 23 Α. (Witness Panel) Good morning.

- 24 Are there any recommendations of Accenture 0.

- that are not included in your 15 projects?
- 2 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 3 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that.
- 4 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.

7

8

9

10

- 5 Q. Have you decided not to implement all of the recommendations of Accenture?
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) No, we have not decided not to implement all of the recommendations.
    - Q. And how many recommendations -- I'm sorry.

      Did you finish?
- 11 (By Ms. McLean) Just to expand on that, Α. 12 there were approximately 200 recommendations 1.3 that Accenture identified, of which 150 were 14 prioritized as Priority A, of the highest 15 priority. Duplicates were eliminated. That 16 resulted in the 123 that are grouped into the 15 major projects that we discussed. 17 18 The remainder were classified as Priority B 19 and C. And the Priority B and C initiatives 20 are in the ongoing change-management process 21 for evaluation against other business needs 22 for prioritization and to be worked by the 23 IT teams. So actually, some -- and I don't 24 have the count -- of the Bs and Cs have been

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}[DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public]\{5-25-10\}$ 

- implemented. Some have been re-prioritized
  relative to other business needs.
- 3 Q. So some of the -- how many of the B and C projects are there?
- 5 A. (By Ms. McLean) I don't know. But some have been implemented.
- 7 Q. Some have been implemented --
- 8 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes.
- 9 Q. -- and some have not.
- 10 A. (By Ms. McLean) Correct.
- 11 Q. And you're still evaluating whether or not 12 to implement the remaining B and C projects.
- 13 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
- A. (By Ms. McLean) As an ongoing part of the
  change-management process. So they are now
  in the mix of all other business priority
  needs that come to IT to be evaluated and
  prioritized to be worked.
- 19 Q. Is there any time frame associated with that evaluation?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) It's an ongoing, rolling
  practice now. So every month we have that
  discussion with business and re-prioritize
  changes into the systems. And we schedule

```
those with a target implementation date and we implement them, and then we do it again.
```

Q. Every month you said?

- 4 A. (By Ms. McLean) Every month.
- 5 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Correct.
- Q. Were you here when Mr. Allen testified yesterday?
- 8 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes.
- 9 Q. I had asked him a number of questions
  10 regarding the metrics remediation project,
  11 which I understand is a CDIP project. Is
  12 that correct?
- 13 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes.
- 14 Q. Which has now been completed?
- 15 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes.
- Q. He indicated in response to one of my
  questions that, although the metrics
  remediation project has been completed,
  FairPoint is still not able to report all of
  the metrics required in the PAP and C2C. Do
  you recall that?
- 22 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes.
- Q. And he referred me to the two of you to ask further questions regarding that subject.

A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes, he did.

1

2

3

4

23

- Q. Could you please identify for me what metrics FairPoint is not able to report, despite the completion of the projects.
- 5 (By Ms. McLean) Sure. FairPoint identified Α. 111 metrics in the carrier-to-carrier, some 6 7 of which are PAP measures, but the majority 8 of which are not penalty-bearing measures; 9 that, given the differences in systems and 10 processes, they are not able to report -- or 11 the biggest group, 56 of the 111, are 12 actually de-listed UNEs relating to line 1.3 sharing and line splitting. And I did look back at the pre-cutover, and actually some 14 of the Verizon reporting. And these 1.5 products were products that were never 16 widely implemented. They're very complex to 17 18 actually operationalize. And even in the 19 Verizon reports, there are only one to three 20 observations in those measures in different 21 months. So they are not highly used 22 products, and now they're de-listed UNEs.
  - Q. Okay. So 56 of the 111 you say are de-listed UNEs?

A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes.

1

- Q. And the remainder pertain to, I think you said, the differences in the systems between Verizon and --
- 5 A. (By Ms. McLean) Systems and processes.
- 6 Q. Systems and processes.
- 7 Α. Right. So another 11 relate to a large or 8 batch hotcut process, which no one uses 9 anymore. It was an artifact of, really, the 10 initial cutover by CLECs on UNE-P-type 11 customers or hotcuts to their own switches. 12 So we haven't had the need to have that 13 process or the measures. They'd be null if 14 we did the work to develop them.
  - Q. So you just do not measure them because --
- 16 A. (By Ms. McLean) Well, we don't have the process.
- 18 Q. You did not build the process, you mean,
  19 when you --
- 20 A. (By Ms. McLean) We have had no requests for large, batch hotcut processes, and we don't anticipate that we would.
- 23 So that process was developed by
  24 Verizon with carriers that were basically

putting up their switches at the time of 271 and doing mass migrations of blocks of customers within an exchange off of the Verizon switches onto the CLEC switches.

And we've had no requests here at FairPoint to do a similarly large, multi-thousand-line-type conversion. And that's what that process was designed around.

In addition, there are seven measures that have to do with faxed ASRs. And our carriers provide our ASRs now electronically.

Ten relate to CORBA, which is a technology used for preordering. And it's an interface that's being, and a technology that's being replaced by other technologies. So FairPoint did not implement CORBA. And I think that was a smart decision. So there's 10 related to that.

There are 19 that relate to a retail compare for preorder response time between EBI and what Verizon called Web GUI, when we have Wisor here. And that actually is a process that requires a retail compare. And we don't have a retail compare, so we don't have the data to

32

#### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

```
1 report on those.
```

- 2 And then seven relate to
  3 electronic bonding or maintenance interfaces that
- 4 were subsumed in FairPoint into the virtual front
- 5 office and Wisor. So it's not a stand-alone
- 6 application as it was at Verizon.
- 7 Q. And how many of these are penalty metrics?
- 8 A. (By Ms. McLean) Excuse me?
- 9 Q. How many of these 111 do have penalties
  10 associated with them?
- 11 A. (By Ms. McLean) I don't know.
- 12 Q. So how are you reporting them on the PAP
- 13 forms?
- 14 A. (By Ms. McLean) They're not reported.
- 15 Q. So how are penalties assessed?
- 16 A. (By Ms. McLean) I think it's a hypothetical
- question that I'd have to research to see if
- any of these are, in fact, penalty-bearing.
- 19 Q. If any are penalty-bearing?
- 20 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes. I did not do that
- 21 research to determine if they were
- 22 penalty-bearing.
- MS. FOLEY: Okay. Thank you.
- 24 I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Bragdon?

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### BY MS. BRAGDON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. I guess I'll start by following up where Ms. Foley ended.

So, for the 19 where there's no retail compare for preorder, can you explain that a little bit more, 'cause it seems a little odd to me.

(By Ms. McLean) It's their response time. Α. The intent is to measure from when a CLEC initiates a request, a dip into our databases for information to be returned to them, how long does that take versus how long does it take for a retail rep to do the same function. And there's obviously different pieces of infrastructure in that process for the wholesale customers. So the measure in the current C2C is a retail component plus some time. And FairPoint does not have a mechanism to measure the retail response time. So their proposal is just to have an absolute value there rather than a retail plus.

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}\{DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public\}\{5-25-10\}$ 

3 4

#### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

- 1 Q. And the proposal being --
- 2 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Bragdon,
- 3 | if you could get closer to the microphone, it
- 4 | would be helpful to the court reporter.
- 5 BY MS. BRAGDON:
- 6 Q. And the proposal being in what?
- 7 A. I believe there's an open docket to simplify
- 8 the C2C and PAP. And I believe it will be
- 9 made in that forum.
- 10 Q. So in the meantime, you're just not
- 11 reporting.
- 12 A. (By Ms. McLean) We can't report it because
- it's a retail compare, and we don't have the
- 14 retail comparison data.
- 15 Q. Do you know how long FairPoint has been
- aware of the 111 metrics?
- 17 A. (By Ms. McLean) I'm sorry. I can't hear
- 18 you.
- 19 Q. Do you know how long FairPoint has been
- 20 aware of --
- 21 A. (By Ms. McLean) I do not know.
- 22 Q. Ms. McLean, do you know -- Ms. Weatherwax,
- do you know?
- 24 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I do not.

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

```
Q. All right. Let's switch back to where I thought I was going.
```

I just want to confirm my understanding of your exhibits, Ms. Weatherwax. So if I start with Exhibit No. 3, that is the so-called Accenture report. Even though it's labeled "FairPoint" everywhere, all the slides have "FairPoint" on it, this is the Accenture report?

- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That was the outcome of the Accenture initiative, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. When you say it's the outcome --
- 13 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) This is the report that

  they produced -- helped produce for us.
- Q. So they produced this document. This isn't your compilation of some other document they produced?
- 18 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 19 Q. And Exhibit 5 is the February 1st CDIP 20 update; is that correct?
- 21 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- Q. And that gives an update for each of the 15 projects and each of the subprojects under them; correct?

{DT 10-025}[DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public]{5-25-10}

```
1
          (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
    Α.
```

- 2 And have there been subsequent updates?
- (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes. 3 Α.
- Can you tell me when? 4
- 5 (Ms. Weatherwax) March, April and May. Α.

6 MS. BRAGDON: Okay. Can I

7 make a request that those be provided to the

8 parties, those further updates? I don't know

9 what the process is here. Oral data request or a

10 record request?

11 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Are those

12 readily available, Mr. McHugh? Any problem with

13 making those available?

14 MR. McHUGH: No.

15 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Let's reserve

16 Exhibit FP 26 for those reports.

17 MR. McHUGH: Be 26C, Mr.

18 Chairman.

#### 19 (FP 26C reserved.)

20 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay.

- 21 BY MS. BRAGDON:
- 22 Q. And then I have Exhibit No. 2, which is the
- 23 IT road map; correct?
- 24 (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes. Α.

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

- Q. And the IT road map is broader than the CDIP report or Accenture report, and the IT road map includes all of the IT projects that are in motion, shall we say?
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- Q. Okay. And it includes some of the -- if I looked through here -- and I won't because I don't want to get into the confidential section. But if I looked at some of the specifics in the IT road map, I would find pieces of the Accenture reports as well --
- 12 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 13 O. Correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 14 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes. The work on the IT

  15 road map includes the CDIP implementation as

  16 well.
- Q. So who is in charge of corralling all of this and keeping track of it?
- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Okay. We just implemented our change-management process and release-management process, and that has now fallen under internal business solutions.

  At the time that this was put together, this was Mr. Haga. But right now responsibility

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}\{DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public\}\{5-25-10\}$ 

```
1 falls to me.
```

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. So you are in charge. And you work for Ms. McLean?
- 4 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I do.
- Q. Okay. So now you are in charge of coordinating all of the IT projects, including the CDIP initiatives?
- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Helping set the release

  schedule and make sure that things are

  scheduled. All of the projects are not run

  by the IBS or the program-management group.

  Some of the projects are run by individual

  businesses, and IT has internal projects

  that they run themselves.
  - Q. Okay. And when that happens, when an internal -- let's just pick, for instance, my favorite, wholesale. If Mr. Murtha had an initiative relating to wholesale issues that involved IT issues --
- 20 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) That would come to the internal business solutions.
- Q. That would come to internal business
  solutions. And who is in charge, or who is
  ultimately responsible for ensuring that

```
whatever you decided needed to be done is,
in fact, done and is done correctly? Who
bears the ultimate responsibility?

A. (By Ms. McLean) Me.

Q. Okay. So let me ask. Were you here
```

- Q. Okay. So let me ask. Were you here yesterday when I crossed Mr. Murtha?
- 7 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes, I was.

6

- Q. Okay. And have you had a chance -- have you seen CRC Exhibit 11, the Liberty list of CLEC issues?
- 11 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes, I have.
- 12 Q. You're familiar with wholesale issues, given
  13 your --
- 14 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes, I am.
- 15 Q. -- past history with Verizon.

16 So can you explain -- what I'm trying 17 to understand is the interaction between you reaching down into organizations and 18 19 business organizations reaching up to you, 20 and where does that -- where does that 21 happen. Where is any line drawn? Because I 22 imagine there would be a situation where you 23 as an IT person might recognize an issue or 24 see it differently than the businessperson.

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}[DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public]\{5-25-10\}$ 

I'm just trying to understand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(By Ms. McLean) So the change-management Α. process actually was one of the CDIP initiatives that Accenture recognized, and I think FairPoint recognized as a result of this, that we needed to have a formalized change-management process, because there wasn't a place to go to say what are all the things the business is requesting of IT to implement. So part of implementing this change-management process is relatively new. The road map was the first round-up of all the things that people are asking for. It comes in both directions. It comes bottom up from individuals -- Ms. Weatherwax cited a lot of people in the business -- down to technician and rep levels that recognize things that could be done better, aren't working as expected. So anyone in the organization can put in a request for a change. Similarly, IT initiates changes to improve processing within the applications, between the applications, and the messaging between them.

1.3

So it is a very complex puzzle of figuring out the size and the priority of things and fitting them into a release or a package of software that gets released every month. So it is an interactive process between IT and the business owners.

In addition to just change management, there are defects that are reported. And defects — there's a process by which the businesses can send defects directly to IT.

And IT will look at it and determine if it is a defect, if they believe it to be a defect, fix it; or if they believe it to be a change to the systems or the processes, to push it back up into the change-management process. And there's as much a science in that process as well.

- Q. And so -- well, let's just pick one system.

  M6. Are you, from an IT perspective, going looking for troubles? Are you waiting for them to come to you?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) I don't have to go looking for trouble. Trouble seems to find me.

  But, no, obviously we do. And obviously, as

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}[DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public]\{5-25-10\}$ 

a new person coming in, it's one of the things that I'm looking at is, you know, how does the process work end-to-end. And we have -- and that also is one of the CDIP initiatives, is to get -- come up out of the silos of ordering, provisioning and maintenance and billing and say, how does the process flow end-to-end, where is the right place in the process to fix something so that it is the most efficient for us to implement, and then to effect improvement in the business on a go-forward basis. So it is absolutely a dialog of all of the people who are touching the systems and the processes to have that conversation about how to optimize it.

- Q. Because there seems to be a little bit of a chicken and an egg situation, a little bit of circles going on. So am I to understand that you're going to be the person at the top of that circle?
- 22 A. (By Ms. McLean) Head chicken?
- 23 Q. In terms of --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24 A. (By Ms. McLean) It is the nature of system

development, particularly when the OSS are so integral to the operation to a company like FairPoint. So there is a chicken and an egg to it. And change can come in from a variety of different places. And the good news is, as a result of putting in place the end-to-end architecture team and a structured IT governance and change-management process, we have better control and visibility to that.

- Q. And based on your experience or past
  experience with Verizon, would you agree
  with me that, at the present time, the level
  of operations on the wholesale side are not
  at a business as usual or a Verizon
  standard, for lack of a better standard?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) Well, I think you've heard me avoid trying to use the "business as usual" because I don't know what usual business is in this market anymore in this industry. So what we're trying to do is put in place a process that allows us to direct our effort to the things that are going to give us the best value.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I do know that a number of the processes that Verizon put in place specifically in the wholesale area are not highly efficient. So the fact that FairPoint didn't adopt them was -- I wasn't here when they made those decisions -- but it was probably for good reason, that they envisioned a model that was more efficient. As we migrate to that, it's disruptive. understand that. And I appreciate the partnership with the CLECs and FairPoint in the WUF, the wholesale user forum, and the change-management forum to have that conversation about, given where we are today, what's the best way for us to partner to make the interdependency for ordering and provisioning between the groups work more smoothly.

So I am absolutely working with Mr.

Murtha and the team to look at what the CLEC issues are and to say what's the right way for us in FairPoint to implement them, which may or may not be a redo of the way it was implemented in Verizon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. But you would agree with me that in the short term, efficient and working beats -- inefficient and working beats efficient and not working, in terms of a particular --
- (By Ms. McLean) I think that if you step Α. back and look at the measures, you see that the mainline processes are working. Orders are being submitted. Services are being provisioned. There are areas in particular -- there was a lot of discussion about directory listings is not a pretty process. It's neither efficient nor how we want it to work. Directory listings is one of those areas where FairPoint is a pass-through between the carriers and Super Media, who actually publishes the book. The issues around directory listings aren't new issues. And actually, as any of the players change their processes or their systems, it causes disruption. And Super Media has changed their systems as well. So we have to look across all of the participants in a process to figure out what's the most efficient way for us to work together to

1 accomplish the aim.

5

6

7

- Q. Sure. But you would agree that directory
  listings and phone books are sort of a basic
  function of a telephone company.
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) Directory listings and what?
  - Q. And production of a telephone -- well,
    directory listings. We'll just leave it at
    that.
- 9 A. (By Ms. McLean) That it --
- 10 Q. A basic function of a telephone company.
- A. (By Ms. McLean) It's a classic basic

  function of a phone company. But I find it

  interesting. When was -- the last time I

  used the White Pages was, I believe, when I

  was testifying in Delaware and someone asked

  me to look at a White Page listing. So

  depending --
- 18 Q. Do you ever use 411?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) Me personally? Yeah, I use
  411. And 411 is not affected by a lot of
  the issues around captioned listings, that
  are really the difficult part of directory
  listings. So 411 requires a telephone
  number, a name and an address. And that's a

```
different interface and a different process
than the publishing of the books.
```

- Q. Right. But it requires a correct name and a correct --
- 5 A. (By Ms. McLean) I'm really sorry. I
  6 can't --
- 7 Q. It requires a --

8 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Excuse me,
9 excuse me. We're not going to get this on the
10 transcript if you're both going to talk at the
11 same time. So if we can have a pause between
12 questions and answers.

- MS. McLEAN: Sure.
- 14 A. (By Ms. McLean) I couldn't hear your last question.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GETZ: I'm not sure
- 17 where we left off, but...
- 18 BY MS. BRAGDON:
- 19 Q. But it requires a correct name and a correct telephone number.
- 21 A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes, it does.
- 22 Q. Okay. Just give me one moment.
- Do you have any plans to come or to send any of your people to observe CLECs'

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}[DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public]\{5-25-10\}$ 

```
side of the interface in order to assist you in working the FairPoint side of the interface?
```

- A. (By Ms. McLean) I know that Mr. Murtha recently went and sat with several CLECs, with their reps, to observe the experience from their side. I had asked Rich to let me know when those meetings were, and if my schedule had allowed, I would have gone along with that. I certainly am open to do that, as well as to participate in the upcoming forum that Mr. Murtha is organizing with the CLECs. Happy to do it.
- Q. Because I assume you probably -- you might find that helpful to sort of see what is happening on a CLEC side of an interface.
- A. (By Ms. McLean) I have seen it before. I'm very familiar with it. But I am happy to see the current state for current customers and their experience.

MS. BRAGDON: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Cole?

MS. COLE: SegTEL has no

```
1
     questions.
 2
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Geiger?
 3
                         MS. GEIGER: Yes. Thank you,
     Mr. Chairman.
 4
 5
                     CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MS. GEIGER:
 6
 7
          Good morning, Ms. Weatherwax and Ms. McLean.
 8
          I'm Susan Geiger, and I represent Comcast
 9
          Phone of New Hampshire, LLC.
10
                I'd like to go back to the issue of
11
          unreported metrics that you discussed
12
          earlier. Ms. McLean may have discussed that
13
          issue relating to --
14
                (Court Reporter interjects.)
     BY MS. BRAGDON:
1.5
16
          The question that I have is for customers,
17
          wholesale customers -- well, first, let's go
          back to the issue of metrics.
18
19
                Now, the metrics that you're talking
20
          about are metrics that your predecessor was
21
          ordered by this Commission to report to it
22
          concerning service quality provided to
23
          wholesale customers; correct?
24
           (By Ms. McLean) I believe that it was a
     Α.
```

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

```
1
         combination of a voluntary reporting regime
2
         and an order.
```

- Okay. Fair enough. But I think you said Q.. that there were seven metrics that are not being currently reported for E-bonded customers; is that correct?
- Α. (By Ms. McLean) Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

21

- 0. Do you know what those metrics are?
- (By Ms. McLean) Sure. PO202,6060. That's 9 Α. 10 the electronic bonding interface 11 maintenance. I don't think Comcast uses 12 EBI.
- 13 Well, would it surprise you to learn that Q.. 14 Comcast is an E-bonded customer?
  - (By Ms. McLean) Well, I mean, for trouble Α. tickets using the EBI protocol? To the best of my knowledge, only MCI, Sprint and AT&T actually use EBI, that protocol.

MS. GEIGER: Well, if it's 20 easier than going down through the list of the metrics today, if we could get a record request 22 on that, I'd appreciate it.

23 MS. McLEAN: Mr. McHugh?

24 Record request? You okay with that?

1 MR. McHUGH: FairPoint 27. 2 MS. GEIGER: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Is there anything confidential about that? 4 5 MR. McHUGH: On the metrics? No. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We will 8 reserve 27. 9 (FP 27 reserved.) 10 BY MS. GEIGER: 11 Ms. McLean, I understand your testimony is 12 that these metrics are no longer reported to the Commission. But does FairPoint still 1.3 14 account for those metrics? In other words, 15 internally do you maintain any information 16 about those metrics? (By Ms. McLean) No. That's why we don't 17 Α. 18 report it. 19 So those --(By Ms. McLean) Particularly as it relates 20 Α. to repair, the maintenance interface, we 21 22 don't have that interface. And that 23 interface, that technology was always a 24 heavy way and difficult to implement

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}\{DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public\}\{5-25-10\}$ 

```
technology. And it's been replaced in the industry largely by XML interfaces. And so I wouldn't recommend that anyone develop an EBI-based interface to that.

Q. Were there any PAP penalties associated with
```

- Q. Were there any PAP penalties associated with those seven metrics that you no longer report to this Commission?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) I did not do that research before I came in today. I will indicate that in the data request, which of these measures, if any, are penalty-bearing.
- 12 Q. Thank you very much. I have one other area.

At the bottom of Page 29 of Ms.

Weatherwax's prefiled testimony, starting on

Page [sic] 19 there's a statement -- and

I'll let you find it if you want to take a

minute to do so. Do you have it?

- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I'm on Page 29, yes.
- 19 Q. Thank you. On Line 19, there's a statement
  20 indicating that the company has automated
  21 port-out cancellation processing. Do you
  22 see that?
- 23 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.5

16

17

18

24

Q. Okay. Apparently this has fixed the data

1 synchronization issue; is that correct?

- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Let me read this, please.
- 3 (Witness reviews document.)
- 4 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 5 Q. Could you please help me understand whether
  6 the fix that you've discussed in your
  7 prefiled testimony regarding porting was
  8 intended to eliminate the situation whereby
  9 CLECs would have to manually interact with
  10 FairPoint to accomplish number porting.
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) I can't answer that question. I'm sorry.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- MS. GEIGER: I have no further
- 15 questions.

11

12

19

- 16 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Judd.
- MR. JUDD: Okay. Thank you,
- 18 Mr. Chairman.

# CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 20 BY MR. JUDD:
- Q. Ms. McLean, in your short tenure with the
  company, do you feel that you're getting the
  support from executive management and the
  financial resources you need to address the

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}\{DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public\}\{5-25-10\}$ 

- 1 problems of FairPoint's back office?
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes, I do.
  - Q. Returning to the CDIP, would you please briefly explain the impact that project will have on retail customer billing.
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) On Retail customer billing?
  - Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α. (By Ms. McLean) Okay. There are specific 9 initiatives. First of all, there are 10 specific initiatives within the CDIP related 11 to retail customer billing. As Ms. 12 Weatherwax discussed, there are also many 1.3 other initiatives in IT to address various 14 functional areas. And Mr. Nolting, who testified yesterday, is our business owner 1.5 16 for billing. And he also has a series of 17 initiatives underway to improve billing.

So in the CDIP initiatives, there are subprojects that are specifically related to known defects in billing that have been fixed. There are also initiatives related to improvements in the end-to-end process which ensure that orders that are provisioned actually make it to billing to

1 be billed.

2

3

4

21

- Q. And that program also has, if I could use the term, "parallel PAP" for wholesale billing; is that correct?
- (By Ms. McLean) Yes, it does. And just to 5 Α. be clear, the billing system for the 6 7 wholesale services, resold services, are 8 billed out of our Kenan billing system. 9 improvements made for retail generally have 10 a carryover effect for resale. And then 11 HiCap services, DS1s and above, are billed 12 out of our carrier access billing system, 1.3 whether they're purchased by enterprise 14 customers or wholesale customers. So when 15 you hear "improvements in CABS," which is 16 outsourced to a company called CDG, they may 17 equally benefit enterprise customers and wholesale customers. 18
- 19 Q. Thank you. You were here yesterday when 20 Mr. Nolting testified; is that correct?
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes, I was.
- Q. Great. He kicked over to you part of the issue about pay phones. And I understand that your department is now responsible for

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}\{DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public\}\{5-25-10\}$ 

- 1 bill production; is that correct?
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) That's correct.

- Q. Do you have in place a protocol to ensure that this Commission is notified 60 days before any pay phone is removed?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) I don't have pay phone operations. I was in the back of the room, and I'm not quite sure what Mr. Nolting kicked over to me. But the actual pay phone business is managed under Mr. Allen's organization. So the part that I would have would be billing for coin services.
- Q. Fair enough. Concerning billing for coin services, as I understand it, the reason FairPoint has chosen to reject in bankruptcy certain contracts is the lack of the ability to determine dial-around revenues; is that correct?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question?
- Q. Sure. We understand -- I understand that

  FairPoint lacks the ability to determine

  non-coin -- that is, dial-around revenues -
  at pay phones; is that correct?

```
1 A. I'm not familiar with that specific issue.
```

- Q. So your aspect of billing production does not address how the data is collected?
- A. In my 60 days here, I have not -- that issue has not -- of all the troubles people have brought me, that one in particular has not come to the top of the list to be investigated.
- 9 Q. Fair enough. Thank you.
- MR. JUDD: I have nothing
- 11 further.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 12 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Commissioner
- 13 Below?
- 14 CMSR. BELOW: No questions.
- 15 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Commissioner
- 16 Ignatius?
- 17 CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you.

# 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 19 BY CMSR. IGNATIUS:
- 20 Q. Good morning.
- 21 A. (Witness Panel) Good morning.
- 22 Q. You've addressed this with some other
- questioners a bit, but I want to follow a
- 24 little more on an understanding of where

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}\{DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public\}\{5-25-10\}$ 

things stand on the many different projects that you're working on, on IT. And I will spare everyone going through them one by one.

But in the road map itself -- and that's a confidential document, so I'm not asking for particular data. But within the road map -- and that's FairPoint 12C -- some projects are broken out by what quarter they'll be completed. Some have stages and then a go-live date at the end of them. The road map itself has a date of December 15th, 2009. So it's had quite a bit of time since it was produced for work to be done.

Can you give -- first of all, in a very broad sense, are you about on target? I don't mean line-by-line, but about on target with the road map as it's envisioned, or ahead of the game, or to any serious degree behind the projections that were laid out in the road map? And I'll leave that to either of you that's best to answer.

A. (By Ms. McLean) So I'll answer it in two ways: First, as it specifically relates to

1.3

1.5

the CDIP project, those are very discrete items. They haven't changed. We're tracking -- some of those have completed early. The vast majority have completed on time. A few have been delayed because of scope issues, but they're still inside of the end date of completing all of the CDIP initiatives by September.

Additionally, there are many other things in the road map that were envisioned in December, as well as new things that the businesses have brought to IT since then, and that is the change-management process I talked about.

Currently there is, as we've heard, a lot of productivity, a lot of change being produced by this process. So businesses are identifying changes they want to see. Some of those relate now to not just fix it, but also how can we generate revenue, how can we support marketing programs, how do we support in the systems the roll-out of the vantage point network and the products and services on the network. So I think it's a

healthy indic	cation of the stability of the
business on t	the systems that we're able to
contemplate t	things beyond fix it,
notwithstand	ing I appreciate that the CLECs
have brought	issues to Mr. Murtha, and we're
continuing to	o work towards those issues as
well. But as	s Mr. Murtha testified, 160
initiatives v	were identified by the CLECs.
We're correla	ating the new list of 109. I
think there a	are definitely some repeats in
there. And	I know yesterday you asked,
"Well, if the	ey're repeating, are they not
fixed?" And	for us to determine that, we
would like to	o go back to the CLECs and ask
for specific	examples, so we can see if
those example	es occurred before the fix was
implemented of	or after, because there's a
timing involv	ved in there. And in some cases
when you put	in a fix, as Mr. Nolting was
talking about	in billing in particular, when
the fix goes	in and then when the bill gets
corrected car	n be lagged by up to two months,
depending on	when the fix went in the bill
cycle and hor	w it was created.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So the first thing I did when I came in was to sit with a number of the business owners that Ms. Weatherwax referred to and to get their take for how the systems are operating to support their business. I then went and looked at the measures that are being reported to the Commissions in both the SQI for retail customers and in the C2C and PAPS, to see if on the core functions of the business, when customers contact us do we timely answer the phone; when customers access to implement service, do we make a commitment to implement that service, and how frequently do we keep that commitment; if we miss the commitment, what's the delay dates for us to get the service installed. So on the ordering side, you see in both the SQI and the C2C improvement and excellent levels of service on those quarter metrics.

On the repair side, do we answer the phone to take repair calls, and do we timely repair the service? You also see excellent performance on those measures, even with the storm and the impact on the repair side that

1.3

the storm had. You saw very quick recovery in the operation teams from that event, which is an indication of a stable operation. So I think on the vast majority of the core functions we are operating in a stable environment.

I know there are issues still outstanding related to billing, and we're continuing to hammer on those. As Mr.

Nolting discussed, really best in class billers in the telecom space experience about 1.7 defect rate. But the average is between two and four percent, and we're in that average. Recognizing that anyone who has an issue that's in that percentage has a pain point, we are working very aggressively to address not only the systemic issues that we know about with respect to the implementation of complex contracts, but individual customer anomalies and their bills.

So I would say that when you take all of that on balance, I believe that we are on track, and I think we're starting to get a

little bit proactive.

1.3

- Q. You described that the CDIP project was on track; some completed, and you're looking good for having it completed by September.

  Are there any other large projects where you are similarly comfortable that you're on track as you should be? Or if it's an easier question, are there any large projects where you see serious delay in getting to the completion date?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) Well, I would say the other program that the company has underway that's a very large scale impact because it affects all processes is the deployment of new products and services on the vantage point network. And the team is working very aggressively to be able to launch a carrier Ethernet service product, which is of great importance to our wholesale customers and also to our large enterprise customers.

  That's a huge undertaking for the company. It affects product management, product specification, pricing, how you order it, how you provision it, how you will maintain

it, how you will bill it. And we have a lot of energy focused on that. So that is one that requires the same amount of diligence and program management that we have applied in the CDIP program. And it's very aggressive, and we're working very hard to make sure we hit our committed milestones on that. But it's a big program, and it will go — the initial implementations go out through the end of the year.

- Q. Are there any other significant projects that you see difficulty in meeting the projections in the road map?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) No.

- Q. And the target dates remain where they were in the road map itself in the testimony filed in February that included the road map as in Exhibit 2, that testimony?
- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) The overall target dates do remain, yes. There are some of the subprojects that, as Kathleen said, that have moved out. But the overall target date is still September for the whole project, for the whole program.

- 1 Q. For the CDIP project.
- 2 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- Q. But the road map is more than that; correct Or not?
- 5 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) This road map goes through June.
- 7 A. (By Ms. McLean) It has initiatives in it 8 more than the CDIP initiatives.
  - A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Right.

9

23

- 10 (By Ms. McLean) We don't have the same rigor Α. 11 around those individual initiatives. I 12 haven't done the tick and tie. But after 1.3 each release, we go back for validation with 14 the business of what we committed to them, 15 and we have user acceptance testing: Do 16 they accept it? In each release there are a 17 few initiatives that fall out because we 18 haven't programmed the specification, but 19 will come out in the next release. But by 20 and large, we are over 95 percent in 21 implementing the initiatives that the 22 businesses asked for.
  - Q. Ms. McLean, based on your experience in various parts within Verizon, and now with

1.3

1.5

FairPoint, and the interplay between the IT systems and the sale and service of the products themselves, do you have any suggestions on how to accelerate resolution of these chronic problems that are leading to a lot of frustration? They may not be the biggest problems that you're facing, but they are chronic and they're -- on the customer's side create a lot of frustration that leads to Commissions getting complaints, businesses being frustrated, individuals being frustrated.

A. (Ms. McLean) I think when you're in firefighting mode, the reaction of the people is -- you have no choice. You fix the individual incidents. Since I've joined FairPoint, I have seen in the business owners and in the business process owners not only fixing the individual things, but an intent to find the root cause so that it can be fixed systemically; and then, not only to suppose that the systemic fix we surmised would fix it, now we're getting to the sophistication to say let's validate it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And that's really an evolution, that we're now at that point. You heard Ms. Weatherwax talk about in her change-management process she now has a stage seven, which is that after-implementation validation. We're just beginning that process now. But I do believe that the business owners in working with IT now are looking for systemic fixes, not just individual customer fixes. And I really -- I am very interested to get the information and put it in time sequence of when the issue occurred, because we may in fact have fixed the issue at a date that's after the date of the issue. So I really need to see the time correlation of the specific issues so we can validate that we have, in fact, fixed them.

Q. Well, and I think that validation, I take it, would also involve the opposite: The belief that because it's passed through -- across one desk and someone has taken actions that they thought were appropriate that it is fixed, when in fact it might simply have just been sent on to the next

```
1
          desk.
 2
          (By Ms. McLean) Yes.
     Α.
 3
          Thank you.
     Q..
 4
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. McHugh,
 5
     any redirect?
                         MR. McHUGH: No, Mr. Chairman.
 6
 7
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay.
 8
     Let's -- we have Mr. Shoer who would like to
 9
     pursue some confidential questions.
10
                         MR. SHOER: Actually, Mr.
11
     Chairman, they may not be -- the questions
12
     about -- they concern some of the narrative in
     the exhibits that's been marked "confidential."
13
14
     So it may not be confidential. I just --
15
                         MR. McHUGH: What exhibit is
16
     it, Alan?
17
                         MR. SHOER: No. 3, VW-3. It's
     the narrative. My questions are about some of
18
19
     the narrative in the document.
                         MR. McHUGH: We can try and
20
21
     ask them and see if their responses are
22
     confidential, Mr. Chairman.
23
                         MR. SHOER: If I may, let me
24
     just ask your indulgence for just a few minutes.
```

```
1
     I'll ask a few questions, and Mr. McHugh can let
 2
     me know.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Let's just try
     it and see where we go. And if we have to --
 4
 5
                         MR. SHOER: Thank you.
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: -- move into a
 6
 7
     confidential session, we'll do it.
 8
                         MR. SHOER: Thank you.
 9
                    RECROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. SHOER:
10
11
          I was asking some questions earlier with
12
          regard to the metrics that you're going to
1.3
          use to measure the results of the CDIP
14
          program. Do you recall that?
          (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
1.5
     Α.
16
          Now, if you'd turn to your Exhibit VW-3.
     Q..
17
          This is the Accenture report. I'd like you
18
          to turn to the... it's the part of the
19
          document that's the -- it's FairPoint
          Communications' label. It says Customer
20
21
          Delivery Improvement Project Assessment
22
          Project Summary as of November 25th, 2009.
23
          It's that section there in the document. Do
24
          you have that in front of you?
```

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

```
1
          (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
     Α.
 2
                        CMSR. IGNATIUS: I'm sorry. I
     don't. Can you describe a little better?
 3
     Beginning of the document? End of the document?
 4
 5
                        MR. SHOER: Yeah. It's not --
     there's no pages on mine. It's about a quarter
 6
 7
     of the way into the exhibit. Make sure I'm...
 8
     after the CDIP road map spreadsheet.
 9
     BY MR. SHOER:
          Okay. If I were to turn to -- going to the
10
     0.
11
          page -- sorry. Page... starting with
12
          Page 19 and continuing to Page 30 -- looks
1.3
          like going up to Page 35, am I correct that
14
          that section of the document describes in a
15
          little bit more detail each of the 15 CDIP
16
          projects?
          (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
17
     Α.
18
          Okay. So if I were to look, say, at the --
     Q.
19
          at some of the performance indicators --
20
          well, let's take, for example, the
          performance indicator for -- on Page 20.
21
22
          Project description: End-to-end
23
          architecture team. You with me?
24
          (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
     Α.
```

71

```
Q. So it says performance indicator: Overall solution delivery quality and timeliness.

Would that be a measurement that would tell if you're succeeding in what you set out to do in the CDIP program?
```

- A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 7 Q.. Okay. On Page 21 there's a -- it says this 8 is the project description, end-to-end 9 flow-through reporting. The performance 10 indicators: Improved flow-through 11 percentage, improved number of installation 12 commitments met, reduce service delivery 1.3 intervals. Again, those are performance 14 indicators. And would those be considered 15 measurements that would determine if your 16 CDIP program has been successful for this 17 project to meet those performance indicators? 18
- 19 A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay.

6

A. (By Ms. McLean) Specifically, so to be

clear, they're key performance indicators.

So what they're saying is, as you make

improvements in this area, you will see

- improvements in these areas, in these
  performance indicators.
  - Q. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. (By Ms. McLean) So there's not a prescribed level or rate here. They're just saying as you make changes in this area, these are the metrics that would be impacted.
- 8 Q.. Okay. So back on Page 15 of 9 Ms. Weatherwax's testimony, there was that question about the IBS group developing 10 11 metrics to measure the results of the CDIP 12 projects. I'm just wondering if these 13 performance measures are in any way related 14 to those metrics that would measure the 15 results.
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) Yes, they are. And, in fact, these, the ones you just cited, those are in the bi-weekly reports. They're in --some of them are in the SQI and some of them are in the C2C.
  - Q. Okay. So if I'm looking at Page 23, called project description: Cross-system data synchronization, again, performance indicators: Improved flow-through

### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

```
1
          percentage and improved billing accuracy --
 2
          again, that's a measurement of the
 3
          performance and if you succeeded in the goal
          of this particular project?
 4
 5
          (Ms. Weatherwax) That's correct.
     Α.
          Okay. And I noticed that through most of
 6
     Q.
 7
          these there was -- yeah, there's a lot of
 8
          similar descriptions. If you flip through,
 9
          you'll see, you know, improving flow-through
          percentages and billing accuracy. On
10
11
          Page 24, project description:
          Suspend/resume collections. Performance
12
13
          indicator: improving billing accuracy. Same
14
          on Page 25 project description. Performance
15
          indicator: Improving billing accuracy. So
16
          I see that as a recurring measurement,
          improving billing accuracy, or improving the
17
18
          accuracy of that particular project.
19
                         MR. McHUGH: Do you have a
     question, Alan?
20
21
                         MR. SHOER: Yeah, I do.
22
                         MR. McHUGH: Okay.
23
     BY MR. SHOER:
24
          If you could turn to Page 22 on the metrics
    \{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}
```

### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

remediation. I was concerned about this, because when I looked at this metric I was looking for a performance indicator again that would show, you know, improved accuracy. But I see as a performance indicator it says penalties/cost avoidance, 20- to 30-percent reduction of current penalties. Do you see that? 

A. (Ms. Weatherwax) Yes.

- Q. So am I correct that the indicator here is, if the company is reducing the amount of penalties that it pays, that's a measurement of this particular success of this project?
- A. (By Ms. McLean) So you have to read below it, where it says the benefit is more accurate insight into operational performance.
- Q. Okay. Now let's look at the description and scope of this project. It says description and scope. The metrics that are driving fines need to be continued --
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) Okay. So I'm a little bit confused when the exhibit is confidential and you're going to read it into the record

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}[DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public]\{5-25-10\}$ 

### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

in a non-confidential session.

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. I'm not reading it. I'm just identifying the description and scope.
  - A. (By Ms. McLean) Well, that's what these pages do.
    - Q. Right. Well, I don't think that's confidential.

8 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, Mr.

9 McHugh, do you have an argument to make here?
10 Not that it's hard to see where some of this

11 particular inquiry is confidential --

MR. McHUGH: Why don't we take a break so I can discuss this with the members of the company, Mr. Chairman, and then we can resume. I don't know the answer, off the top of my head. Some of it sounds like it is. Some of it's not necessarily confidential. But he is sitting here reading it when we don't need to do that. If he's got questions, he can ask them. What is the intent of the penalties cost avoidance, for example. It's certainly not a

avoidance, for example. It's certainly not a

confidential question. But sitting here reading

it, I've got some issues with that.

MR. SHOER: I'm not reading

[WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

```
1
     anything more than this one line. That's all I'm
 2
     reading.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Seems to me
     that the question, I think where you're headed
 4
 5
     is, and I think you've already asked it, is the
 6
     performance indicator the appropriate performance
 7
     indicator for the project description. Is that
 8
     the substance of your question?
 9
                        MR. SHOER: That's part of it,
10
     yes.
11
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: And what would
12
     be the other part?
13
                        MR. SHOER: My other part is
14
     that, am I correct that, if FairPoint is paying
15
     penalties or fines, that's not a problem, per se,
16
     of the metrics; that's a problem of the
     underlying service quality and the operations?
17
18
     Would you agree with that statement?
19
          (By Ms. McLean) It's a combination of both.
20
          The metrics are incredibly complex, and they
21
          were designed around Verizon's systems and
          processes at the time. So Verizon itself
22
23
          undertook a metrics validation program to
24
          make sure that all the business rules that
```

# [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

needed to be followed to accurately capture
the performance that we had and FairPoint
did exactly the same thing are we
capturing the right data points in order to
accurately report our performance and also
to correctly categorize and bucket the
various orders and tickets running through
the system? So if you're not doing that
correctly, you could be affecting your
penalties. You could be paying penalties on
things that you should not be paying
penalties on. Similarly, as has been
brought up, you could not be paying a
penalty when you should be paying a penalty.
So part of this specifically was a
validation of, given that we have changed
our systems, are we interpreting the
business rules that were defined around a
different set of systems correctly for our
processes and systems? As a result of doing
that process and validating that you're
measuring the right things, you now have
very good insight into how you are operating
on a more timely basis, so you can take
on a more crimery pasts, so you can take

### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX|McLEAN]

corrections the operational teams can
make corrections in the course of a month to
improve the service levels. So this program
had both of those initiatives. And many of
the CDIP programs have resulted in ongoing
process improvements, one of which is a
weekly review and it was a practice in
Verizon that FairPoint has adopted of the
metrics team with the business owners to
review the performance and make sure that
the metrics are, A, reflecting the
performance we believe that we're
delivering, the service we believe we're
delivering; and, B, that we can take
corrective actions if we're below standards
on those measures.

- Q. Thank you. So if your metrics are reporting accurately and you're providing poor service quality -- I'm talking about the operation providing poor service quality -- and their measurements -- their metrics are accurate, that would -- if you --
- A. (By Ms. McLean) You pay a lot of fines, which FairPoint did.

### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX | McLEAN]

```
1
     Q.
          Right. And that would not be a problem of
 2
          the metrics is my question. That would be a
 3
          problem of the operations; correct?
          (By Ms. McLean) I answered that it could be
 4
     Α.
 5
          some of each. If in fact the metrics are
          accurate and you are providing poor
 6
 7
          performance, you will pay penalties.
 8
          how the plans are constructed. You can
          actually be providing very good service and
 9
          still pay penalties, based on the way the
10
11
          metrics are constructed in some cases. And
12
          if you're measuring them incorrectly, you
1.3
          could be, using the terms of the industry,
14
          "dinging" yourself for a miss that is not
          actually a miss, because you're not
1.5
16
          capturing the right event in the system in
          order to calculate the metric. So it's some
17
18
          of all of those things.
19
          Thank you.
20
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Any redirect
21
     on that, Mr. McHugh?
```

22 MR. McHUGH: No, Mr. Chairman.

23 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Anything

24 further for these witnesses?

{DT 10-025} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] {5-25-10}

### [WITNESS PANEL: WEATHERWAX | McLEAN]

```
1
                (No response)
 2
                         Hearing nothing, then you're
 3
     excused.
               Thank you.
 4
                         Okay. It's a good time for
 5
     the morning recess. And I believe we'll be
     taking up with Mr. Nixon and Mr. Scrivan. So
 6
     let's recess until 11:00.
 7
                (Recess taken at 10:38 a.m.)
 8
 9
                (Proceedings resumed at 11:06 a.m.)
10
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Back on the
11
     record in DT 10-025. And we have the next panel
12
     from FairPoint?
13
                         MR. McHUGH: I do, Mr.
14
     Chairman. Just one minor administrative matter.
15
                         When I had described earlier
16
     that there was a filing made late last night with
     the bankruptcy court, the one filing which seemed
17
     to generate some interest has been described as a
18
19
     second supplement to the plan supplement, which
20
     in fact is essentially a one-page pleading with
21
     an attachment providing notice of two leases that
22
     have been rejected in the bankruptcy process that
23
     aren't even in the state. So I think that really
24
     is a non-issue. There was another pleading filed
```

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

```
1
     in which -- in the bankruptcy process. I believe
     the number is 96 leases have been assumed. I
 2
 3
     don't have any plans to include them in the
     record.
 4
 5
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right.
     Thank you.
 6
 7
                         MR. McHUGH: Having said that,
     FairPoint calls Peter Nixon and Michael Scrivan
 8
 9
     to the stand.
10
                (WHEREUPON, the witnesses were duly
11
                sworn and cautioned by the Court
12
               Reporter.)
13
                   PETER G. NIXON, SWORN
14
                 MICHAEL T. SCRIVAN, SWORN
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION
1.5
16
     BY MR. McHUGH:
     Q. Mr. Scrivan, if I could please start with
17
18
          you. Could you please state for the record
19
          your full name and your position with
20
          FairPoint, please.
21
          (Mr. Scrivan) Yes. I'm Michael T. Scrivan,
     Α.
22
          and I'm Vice-President of Regulatory for
23
          FairPoint.
```

Who do you report to at FairPoint, Mr.

24

Q.

[WITNESS PANEL: NIXON|SCRIVAN]

1 Scrivan?

- 2 A. (Mr. Scrivan) I report to Peter Nixon.
- Q. Mr. Scrivan, have you agreed to adopt a
- 4 certain portion of the prefiled testimony of
- 5 Mr. Alfred Giammarino, dated February 24,
- 6 2010, which we've identified previously as
- 7 FairPoint Exhibit 7?
- 8 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes.
- 9 Q. Could you tell the Commission what portions
- of Mr. Giammarino's testimony you've agreed
- 11 to adopt and sponsor?
- 12 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes. I've agreed to adopt and
- sponsor Pages 52 through 58 of his
- 14 testimony.
- 15 Q. Are there any corrections to that testimony,
- 16 Mr. Scrivan?
- 17 A. Yes, there are.
- 18 Q. Could you please -- go ahead, please.
- 19 A. (Mr. Scrivan) On Page 58 there's an answer
- there that goes from Lines 1 through 14.
- 21 And the last sentence in that answer states
- that FairPoint "does believe such an outcome
- would be beneficial, " et cetera. And it
- should be corrected to say "FairPoint does

# [WITNESS PANEL: NIXON|SCRIVAN]

- 3 Q. Any other corrections, Mr. Scrivan?
- 4 A. (Mr. Scrivan) No.
- Subject to the testimony being corrected, is the testimony that you've agreed to adopt and sponsor true and correct in all material respects?
- 9 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you adopt that prefiled testimony as your own here today under oath?
- 12 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Before I introduce Mr. Nixon, Mr. Scrivan, could you just summarize very briefly your experience and training in connection with the work that you do.
- A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes. I have over 30 years of experience in the telecommunications industry. My career started with Ernst & Young in a telecommunications consulting practice which was focused primarily on providing regulatory services to rural telephone companies. I've also worked for other consulting groups, and I've worked for

```
two midsize carriers prior to working for
 1
          FairPoint. One of those carriers was in
 2
 3
          Illinois, called Illinois Consolidated
 4
          Telephone Company. And I went to work for
 5
          them at the time of divestiture and
          implementation of access charges, with the
 6
 7
          general duties to deal with those issues.
 8
          And then I was with a company called Madison
 9
          River Communications, which was also a
10
          midsize carrier. And my duties there were
11
          similar as to what my duties are at
12
          FairPoint.
13
          Thank you. Mr. Nixon, could you please
     Q.
14
          state for the record your full name and your
15
          title with FairPoint Communication?
16
          (Mr. Nixon) Peter Garrett Nixon, President.
     Α.
          And how long have you been with FairPoint,
17
     Q.
          Mr. Nixon?
18
19
          (Mr. Nixon) Since 1997.
     Α.
          Mr. Nixon, can you please -- you have not
20
     Q..
21
          sponsored any testimony in this docket; is
22
          that correct?
23
          (Mr. Nixon) That's correct.
```

So can you please state for the Commission

24

Q.

```
1
         why it is that you're here today, the
2
         purpose of your attendance.
```

- (Mr. Nixon) Yes. I'm the person and the 3 Α. 4 officer at FairPoint who signed the 5 regulatory settlement. And as such, I've been asked by the Non-Advocate Staff to 6 7 address questions that may arise as they 8 relate to the regulatory settlement.
- 9 Mr. Nixon -- I'm sorry. 0.
- 10 MR. McHUGH: Mr. Chairman, the
- 11 witnesses are available for cross-examination.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank
- 13 you. Mr. Roth?
- 14 MR. ROTH: Thank you, Mr.
- 15 Chairman.

Α.

16

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 17 BY MR. ROTH:
- 18 Q.. Mr. Nixon, you were here yesterday; right?
- 19 (Mr. Nixon) I was. Α.
- 20 You probably heard me ask Ms. Hood what Q.. 21 became of Mr. Giammarino?
- 22 (Mr. Nixon) I did.
- 23 Would you perhaps care to elaborate on that
- 24 question? What became of Mr. Giammarino?

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}[DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public]\{5-25-10\}$ 

1	Α.	(Mr. Nixon) Thank you. I believe the
2		question was his departure and why he left.
3		And the response yesterday was "for personal
4		reasons," which is true and correct. I had
5		the opportunity to visit with Mr. Giammarino
6		after he made that decision and inquired as
7		to was there any indication as to his
8		continued support for or questions about the
9		plan, the business plan that had been
10		developed, had he been under any pressure to
11		say or do anything that might be to the
12		contrary of it. And he indicated that that
13		was not the case, that this was strictly a
14		business a personal I'm sorry a
15		personal decision on his part. And it had
16		nothing to do with any other matters or any
17		concerns he might have with regard to the
18		business plan or the operations of the
19		company.

Q. Thank you. Now, since the Staff Advocates and the company reached the regulatory settlement, we've seen restated financials, some new changes to the credit agreement, a partial confirmation order, and some months

1.3

of the company's actual performance, and perhaps a better understanding by you and your people of the company's performance.

Is there anything in any of those matters or with those documents that have — that you think would make it less likely or not possible for FairPoint to comply with the terms of the regulatory settlement and to comply with the terms of the 2008 agreement and to comply with other regulatory requirements that the Public Utilities

Commission may have and impose upon FairPoint?

- A. (Mr. Nixon) No, there are no other -- excuse me. Nothing has occurred since the time that we entered into this regulatory settlement that would now make me question whether we can or are able to fulfill the requirements under the regulatory settlement or the plan.
- Q. In particular, there were a couple of paragraphs dealing with capital expenditures. Is there anything in the company's financial situation, either as a

```
1
          result of the new credit agreement or the
 2
          actual performance, that are going to impair
 3
          or have an impact on the company's ability
          to perform those capital expenditures?
 4
          (Mr. Nixon) I believe, as we heard the
 5
          witnesses in the last two days indicate,
 6
 7
          that there's nothing that we're aware of
 8
          that would negatively impact the company's
 9
          ability to perform, and that the funds and
10
          the expectations are as we've indicated. I
11
          believe that Ms. Hood did indicate, though,
12
          that with the -- calling for the secured
1.3
          debt that has for technical reasons been
          removed, that indeed it would give the
14
          company an additional cushion so it would
1.5
16
          further improve the company's ability to
          achieve those.
17
18
                         MR. ROTH: All right. That's
19
     all I have. Thank you.
20
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
21
     Ms. Hatfield?
22
                         MS. HATFIELD:
                                        Thank you, Mr.
23
     Chairman.
24
```

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### BY MS. HATFIELD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. Good afternoon -- good morning, gentlemen.

I believe this question is more

appropriate for Mr. Scrivan. I believe that

FairPoint has marked the memorandum of

understanding with New Hampshire Legal

Assistance that was executed in 2007 in

Docket 07-011; is that correct?

- A. (Mr. Scrivan) That's my understanding. Yes.
- 11 Q. And so you are seeking to have that be an exhibit at this proceeding as well.
- 13 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes.
- 14 Q. And why does the company wish to do that?

MR. McHUGH: Well, I'm sorry.

I have to address that question, Mr. Chairman,

17 because it was asked of me to mark the MOU with

18 NHLA and Ms. Schmidt as an exhibit. So we did it

19 | purely as an administrative convenience. It's

20 FairPoint Exhibit 3.

21 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Does that

22 | answer your question, Ms. Hatfield, or are you

23 seeking more on that?

MS. HATFIELD: That is very

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}[DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public]\{5-25-10\}$ 

### [WITNESS PANEL: NIXON|SCRIVAN]

- 1 helpful. I was asking the question, Mr.
- 2 Chairman, because I don't believe there's any
- 3 reference to that exhibit in the company's
- 4 | pleadings or testimony. So I really wanted to
- 5 just ask a few clarifying questions about the
- 6 purpose of including that in the record in this
- 7 case, as well as being in the record with the
- 8 prior case. So I would like to proceed with a
- 9 few specific questions about it, if I may. Thank
- 10 you.
- 11 BY MS. HATFIELD:
- 12 Q. Do you have a copy of FairPoint Exhibit 3
- with you, Mr. Scrivan?
- 14 A. (Mr. Scrivan) I'm sorry. I do not.
- 15 MR. McHUGH: I think I have
- 16 extras.
- MS. HATFIELD: I think Mr.
- 18 Linder might have one as well.
- 19 A. (Mr. Scrivan) I do have it. I'm sorry. I
- do have it.
- 21 BY MS. HATFIELD:
- 22 Q. Thank you. If you look at the first page,
- Item No. 1 is Lifeline and Link-Up support;
- 24 correct?

- 1 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes.
- Q. And that section describes the agreement
  that FairPoint and New Hampshire Legal
  Assistance entered into in the last docket;
  correct?
- 6 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes.
- Q. Are you aware of how progress has been since the time that the agreement was made?
- 9 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes. FairPoint has, in fact,

  10 met with NHLA on this matter.
- Q. And is it true that FairPoint is also now providing reports to Staff, Legal Assistance and the OCA indicating enrollment in those programs?
- 15 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes, that's true.
- 16 Q. The second issue on the next page deals with soft disconnects. Do you see that?
- 18 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes.
- 19 Q. And is there any update or status on that 20 issue?
- 21 A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes. We have implemented the soft disconnect process.
- Q. There has been some discussion previously on pay phones. Were you here for that

discussion?

- A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes, I was.
- Q. Looking at Section 4 that begins on Page 2 of Exhibit 3 dealing with pay phones, is there any update or status on those commitments?
- A. (Mr. Scrivan) Yes, we have met regarding the public-interest pay phones. And I understand there have been a couple implemented. And we have followed through with providing the necessary information under this section.
- Q. So in your view, Mr. Scrivan, is the purpose of including this exhibit really to just reinforce the commitment that the company had made with respect to New Hampshire Legal Assistance?

MR. McHUGH: Wait. I object,

because the witness can't answer that. I was

asked if I would put it in for convenience.

That's the purpose of putting it in. I mean,

period. There's no -- nothing nefarious, and

there's nothing of the other type of intent. It

was simply a request made of me. I agreed to do

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

```
1
     it for convenience. There's no other reason.
 2
     the purpose of putting it in is just for that.
 3
                        CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Hatfield,
     is the point of the question, does FairPoint
 4
 5
     still intend to abide by the agreement? Is
     that --
 6
 7
                        MS. HATFIELD: That is another
 8
     way the question can be phrased. Thank you, Mr.
 9
     Chairman. And I apologize for what Mr. McHugh
10
     seems to be taking as a problematic question. It
11
     really wasn't intended that way. So I can
12
     withdraw the question, or the witness can answer
13
     the question that you have. Thank you.
14
                        CHAIRMAN GETZ: Does FairPoint
15
     intend to abide by the obligations that are set
16
     forth in the memorandum?
17
                        MR. SCRIVAN: Yes.
18
                        MS. HATFIELD: Thank you. I
19
     have nothing further.
20
                        CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Linder?
21
                        MR. LINDER: Yes.
22
                     CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
     BY MR. LINDER:
24
          Good morning, Mr. Nixon and Mr. Scrivan.
```

 $\{DT\ 10-025\}[DAY\ 2-A.M.\ Session\ ONLY-Public]\{5-25-10\}$ 

1.3

would like follow-up on a couple questions regarding the pay phone aspect of the memorandum of understanding that Ms.

Hatfield referred to.

And following up on Ms. Hatfield's last question and the Commissioner's question, in fact, in the bankruptcy proceeding the company did make a filing expressly assuming the memorandum of understanding, Exhibit 3, rather than rejecting it; is that not correct?

A. (Mr. Scrivan) I don't know the answer to whether that happened in that process or not. I mean, I can say that, as I said earlier, we intend to honor it. But I just don't know specifically as a matter of fact whether it was assumed in that process.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: I'm assuming that that's something we can verifying pretty easily?

MR. LINDER: Yes. This is the document. I don't think we need to mark it. I was just getting on the record that the company did assume it. If counsel would stipulate?

 $\{DT 10-025\} [DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public] \{5-25-10\}$ 

[WITNESS PANEL: NIXON|SCRIVAN] 1 MR. McHUGH: It was assumed 2 via pleading filed -- or via pleading dated April 21, 2010, signed by James Grogan and Paul 3 4 Hastings. So stipulated. 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. 6 MR. LINDER: Thank you. 7 BY MR. LINDER: 8 Actually, just following up on that, we just 9 wanted to state for the record that our client and Legal Assistance do appreciate 10 11 the fact that the company has assumed the 12 memorandum of understanding rather than 1.3 rejecting it. 14 You would agree with me, Mr. Nixon, that the memorandum of understanding does 1.5 16 contain components that would and does

benefit the company's low-income customers with respect to the Lifeline and soft dialtone and pay phones?

(Mr. Nixon) I do. Α.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Good. Okay. There was reference in the plan supplement schedule of contracts and leases assumed and rejected that contained references to rejection of some of the pay

```
1
           phone contracts that we would characterize,
 2
           I think, as commission-based contracts.
 3
           Would you agree with that?
 4
          (Mr. Nixon) Yes.
     Α.
 5
                       (Fire alarm sounding.)
 6
                          CHAIRMAN GETZ: Recess.
 7
                (Lunch recess was taken at 11:23)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

{DT 10-025}[DAY 2-A.M. Session ONLY-Public]{5-25-10}

#### CERTIFICATE

I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed

Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

1.3

1.5

Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)